



#### JORDAN PUBLIC SCHOOLS 2019-2021

Strategic Roadmap Strategic Directions Vision Cards



Jordan Public Schools <b>Strategic Roadmap</b> July, 2019										
Mission Statement  Inspire a caring community  to ignite learning, innovation, and success for all	Core Values Excellence: Setting a high bar for learning, behavior, commitment and service  Integrity: Doing the right things at all times with honesty, trust and respect  Innovation: Finding courageous ways to excel through research and action  Collaboration: Working in partnership for shared goals  Respect: Showing pride in who we are and celebrating our differences									
<ul> <li>Vision Jordan Public Schools will:</li> <li>Help students attain high academic achievement</li> <li>Provide a safe, collaborative and innovative culture and environment in which to learn and work</li> <li>Provide opportunities and support to students to maximize potential</li> <li>Be fiscally responsible and maintain quality facilities</li> <li>Engage with all stakeholders in an intentional and effective manner</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Strategic Directions Jordan Public Schools will:</li> <li>Improve student achievement, learning and career and college readiness</li> <li>Integrate data-informed instruction, assessment and learning</li> <li>Provide a safe and collaborative culture in which to learn and work</li> <li>Manage resources (human, financial and physical)</li> <li>Improve community connection, satisfaction, and engagement</li> </ul>									



#### Jordan Public Schools (2019-2021) Vision Card A: Student Achievement, Learning and Career and College Readiness

Less than 50% meet or exceed Less than 50%	50-59% meet or exceed	60-69%	70—79%			
	I control of the cont	meet or exceed	meet or exceed	Greater than 80% meets or exceeds		
meet or exceed	50-59% meet or exceed	60-69% meet or exceed	70—79% meet or exceed	Greater than 80% meets or exceeds		
Less than 50% meet or exceed	50-59% meet or exceed	60-69% meet or exceed	70—79% meet or exceed	Greater than 80% meet or exceeds		
Less than 50% meet or exceed	50-59% meet or exceed	60-69% meet or exceed	70—79% meet or exceed	Greater than 80% meet or exceeds		
Less than 50% meet or exceed	50-59% meet or exceed	60-69% meet or exceed	70—79% meet or exceed	Greater than 80% meet or exceeds		
Greater than 60 % achievement gap	41 – 60 % achievement gap	21- 40 % achievement gap	10 – 20 % achievement gap	Less than 10 % Achievement gap		
Greater than 60 % achievement gap	41 – 60 % achievement gap	21- 40 % achievement gap	10 – 20 % achievement gap	Less than 10 % achievement gap		
Less than 80% of four year cohort	80-84% of four year cohort	85-89% of four year cohort	90-95% of four year cohort	Greater than 95% of four year cohort		
Less than 40% of students score at or above 22	40-50% of students score at or above 22	50-65% of students score at or above 22	65-75% of students score at or above 22	Greater than 80% of students score at or above 22		
	meet or exceed  Less than 50% meet or exceed  Less than 50% meet or exceed  Greater than 60 % achievement gap  Greater than 60 % achievement gap  Less than 80% of four year cohort  Less than 40% of students score at or	meet or exceed  Less than 50% meet or exceed  Less than 50% meet or exceed  Less than 50% meet or exceed  Greater than 60 % achievement gap  Greater than 60 % achievement gap  Less than 80% of four year cohort  Less than 40% of students score at or acceed  ### Meet or exceed  ### Au	meet or exceed meet or exceed 60-69% meet or exceed 70-60% achievement gap 70-60% a	meet or exceed meet or exceed meet or exceed  Less than 50% 50-59% 60-69% 70—79% meet or exceed  Less than 50% 50-59% 60-69% 70—79% meet or exceed  Less than 50% 50-59% 60-69% 70—79% meet or exceed meet or exceed  Greater than 60 % achievement gap achievement gap achievement gap  Greater than 60 % achievement gap achievement gap achievement gap  Less than 80% of four year cohort  Less than 40% of students score at or above 22  above 22  meet or exceed  70—79% meet or exceed  70—79% meet or exceed meet or exceed meet or exceed meet or exceed  70—79% meet or exceed meet o	meet or exceedmeet or exceedmeet or exceedmeet or exceedLess than 50% meet or exceed50-59% meet or exceed60-69% meet or exceed70—79% meet or exceedGreater than 80% meet or exceedLess than 50% meet or exceed50-59% meet or exceed60-69% meet or exceed70—79% meet or exceedGreater than 80% meet or exceedGreater than 60 % achievement gap41 – 60 % achievement gap21- 40 % achievement gap10 – 20 % achievement gapLess than 10 % achievement gapGreater than 60 % achievement gap41 – 60 % achievement gap21- 40 % achievement gap10 – 20 % achievement gapLess than 10 % achievement gapLess than 80% of four year cohort80-84% of four year cohort85-89% of four year cohort90-95% of four year cohortGreater than 95% of four year cohortLess than 40% of students score at or students score at or above 2240-50% of students score at or above 2250-65% of students score at or above 2265-75% of students score at or above 22Greater than 80% of students score at or above 22	meet or exceed meet o



## Jordan Public Schools (2019-2021) Vision Card B: Core Process of Teaching and Learning

Measure	Wt	Level 1 Intervention 1.0 – 1.9	Level 2 High Concern 2.0 – 2.9	Level 3 Baseline 3.0 – 3.9	Level 4 Progressing 4.0 – 4.9	Level 5 Vision 5.0 +	Score	Trend Line
Formal Observations: Standards Based Instruction with evidence of learning target (D-1)		Less than 50% of staff will score Proficient or Distinguished on Domain 1: Planning and Preparation	50-59% of staff will score Proficient or Distinguished on Domain 1: Planning and Preparation	60-69% of staff will score Proficient or Distinguished on Domain 1: Planning and Preparation	70-80% of staff will score Proficient or Distinguished on Domain 1: Planning and Preparation	Greater than 80% of staff will score Proficient or Distinguished on Domain 1: Planning and Preparation		
Walkthroughs: Standards Based Instruction with evidence of learning target (D-1)		Less than 50% of staff showed evidence of learning target	50-59% of staff showed evidence of learning target	60-69% of staff showed evidence of learning target	70-80% of staff showed evidence of learning target	Greater than 80% of staff showed evidence of learning target		
Formal Observations: Evidence of positive classroom environment (D-2)		Less than 50% of staff will score Proficient or Distinguished on Domain 2: Classroom Environment	50-59% of staff will score Proficient or Distinguished on Domain 2: Classroom Environment	60-69% of staff will score Proficient or Distinguished on Domain 2: Classroom Environment	70-80% of staff will score Proficient or Distinguished on Domain 2: Classroom Environment	Greater than 80% of staff will score Proficient or Distinguished on Domain 2: Classroom Environment		
Walkthroughs: Evidence of positive classroom environment (D-2)		Less than 50% of staff showed evidence of positive classroom environment	50-59% of staff showed evidence of positive classroom environment	60-69% of staff showed evidence of positive classroom environment	70-80% of staff showed evidence of positive classroom environment	Greater than 80% of staff showed evidence of positive classroom environment		
Formal Observations: Evidence of best practice in instruction (D-3)		Less than 50% of staff will score Proficient or Distinguished on Domain 3: Instruction	50-59% of staff will score Proficient or Distinguished on Domain 3:: Instruction	60-69% of staff will score Proficient or Distinguished on Domain 3: Instruction	70-80% of staff will score Proficient or Distinguished on Domain 3: Instruction	Greater than 80% of staff will score Proficient or Distinguished on Domain 3: Instruction		
Walkthroughs:		Less than 50% of staff showed evidence of	50-59% of staff showed evidence of	60-69% of staff showed evidence of	70-80% of staff showed evidence of	Greater than 80% of staff showed evidence		



Evidence of best practice in instruction (D-3)		best practice in instruction	best practice in instruction	best practice in instruction	best practice in instruction	best practice in instruction	
Formal Observations: Evidence or implementation of district-wide initiatives (D-4)		Less than 50% of staff will score Proficient or Distinguished on Domain 4: Professional Responsibilities	50-59% of staff will score Proficient or Distinguished on Domain 4: Professional Responsibilities	60-69% of staff will score Proficient or Distinguished on Domain 4: Professional Responsibilities	70-80% of staff will score Proficient or Distinguished on Domain 4: Professional Responsibilities	Greater than 80% of staff will score Proficient or Distinguished on Domain 4: Professional Responsibilities	
Walkthroughs: Evidence or implementation of district-wide initiatives (D-4)		Less than 50% of staff showed evidence or implementation of district-wide initiatives	50-59% of staff showed evidence or implementation of district-wide initiatives	60-69% of staff showed evidence or implementation of district-wide initiatives	70-80% of staff showed evidence or implementation of district-wide initiatives	Greater than 80% of staff showed evidence or implementation of district-wide initiatives	
Weighted Performance Score							

Jordan Public Schools (2019-2021)							
Vision Card C: Learning and Working Environment							

Measure	Wt	Level 1 Intervention 1.0 – 1.9	Level 2 High Concern 2.0 – 2.9	Level 3 Baseline 3.0 – 3.9	Level 4 Progressing 4.0 – 4.9	Level 5 Vision 5.0 +	Comparison
Staff Satisfaction Survey		Less than 60% of responses are positive	60-69% of responses are positive	70-79% of responses are positive	80-89% of responses are positive	Greater than 90% of responses are positive	
Effective use of professional development to support staff		Less than 50% of staff feel that the district	50 – 59% of staff feel that the district	60-69% of staff feel that the district	70-79% of staff feel that the district	Greater than 80% of staff feel that the district employed	



needs connected to the district's initiatives		employed effective staff development	employed effective staff development	employed effective staff development	employed effective staff development	effective staff development		
Student Safety (percent of students feeling safe at school)		Less than 80% of students feel safe at school	80 – 84% of students feel safe at school	85 - 89% of students feel safe at school	90-94% of students feel safe at school	Greater than 95% of students feel safe at school		
Effective student management model measured by decrease in discipline referrals		Greater than 26% increase in disciplinary referrals	0-25% increase in disciplinary referrals	0-25% reduction in disciplinary referrals	26-50% reduction in disciplinary referrals	Greater than a 50% reduction in disciplinary referrals		
Student Bullying (percent of students report being bullied)		More than 20% of students report being bullied at school	16-20% of students report being bullied at school	10-15% of students report being bullied at school	5-9% of students report being bullied at school	Less than 5% of students report being bullied at school		
Weighted Performance Score								



# Jordan Public Schools (2019-2021) Vision Card D: Management of Resources (Human, Financial and Physical)

Measure	Wt	Level 1 Intervention 1.0 – 1.9	Level 2 High Concern 2.0 – 2.9	Level 3 Baseline 3.0 – 3.9	Level 4 Progressing 4.0 – 4.9	Level 5 Vision 5.0 +	Comparison
Internal Standards are Developed for Routine and Ongoing Maintenance using the software program SchoolDude to measure completion of tasks (tasks entered vs. tasks closed to obtain a percentage)		Less than 60% of the building and grounds maintenance met internal standards.	60-69% of the building and grounds maintenance met internal standards.	70-79% of the building and grounds maintenance met internal standards.	80-89% of the building and grounds maintenance met internal standards.	Greater than 90% of the building and grounds maintenance met internal standards.	
Review of long-range existing facilities maintenance (LTFM) plan (health, safety, capital & deferred maintenance) (projects entered in LTFM spreadsheet vs. projects completed)		Less than 74% of the yearly facility goals are met.	75-79% of the yearly facility goals are met.	80-89% of the yearly facility goals are met.	90-95% of the yearly facility goals are met.	100% of the yearly facility goals are met.	
Passage of 2019 bond referendum questions and completion of associated building projects to begin carrying out long range facility plan		Neither bond referendum question passes in fall of 2019	Question 2 passes and associated projects are not completed by 2022	Question 2 passes and associated projects are completed by 2022	Both bond questions pass, but associated building projects aren't completed by fall of 2022	Both bond questions pass and building projects are completed by fall of 2022	
Fund balance allows for the district to provide competitive student programs and the hiring and retention of highly qualified staff. The District's policy is to strive to maintain a minimum unassigned general fund balance of 12%.		The district has less than 8% of unassigned fund balance	The district has 8%-10% of unassigned fund balance	The district has 12% of unassigned fund balance	The district has 13%-15% of unassigned fund balance	The district has 16% or greater of unassigned fund balance	
Effective and efficient use of funds for student learning and achievement (measured on annual audit and UFARS		Less than 50% of funds are aligned to student learning	50-59% of funds are aligned to student learning	60-64% of funds are aligned to student learning	65-69% of funds are aligned to student learning	Greater than 70% of funds are aligned to student learning	



program code (total spent on Regular Instruction, Vocational Instruction, Special Education vs. the total expenditure budget)								
Adequate referendum allowance (when compared to 7 identified comparable districts to include Dassel-Cokato, Stewartville, Rocori, Annandale, Jordan, Rockford, Pequot Lakes, Belle Plaine. Districts in comparison based on enrollment and % of families receiving educational benefits.		Lowest quartile of similar districts (1-25%)	Lowest half of similar districts (26-50%)	Highest half of similar districts (51-74%)	Highest quartile of similar districts (75-89%)	Highest quintile of similar districts (90-99%)		
Weighted Performance Score								



# Jordan Public Schools (2019-2021) Vision Card E: Community Connection, Satisfaction, and Engagement

Measure	Wt	Level 1 Intervention 1.0 – 1.9	Level 2 High Concern 2.0 – 2.9	Level 3 Baseline 3.0 – 3.9	Level 4 Progressing 4.0 – 4.9	Level 5 Vision 5.0 +	Score	Trend Line
Community-School Connections involving under-represented and at risk populations  Free and Reduced Population - Backpack program numbers (Need Numbers of kids signed up from ES and MS and number of Internet Hotspots deployed 5-12)  American Indian Parent Advisory Group Activities (3		Lose more than one net connection per year and reduce the number of backpacks and/or mobile hotspots distributed by 6 or greater	Lose one net connection per year and reduce the number of backpacks and/or mobile hotspots distributed by 1-5	Maintain current 10 connections and same level of backpacks and mobile hotspots distributed	Create 1 new net connection per year and 5-9 more backpacks and/or mobile hotspots distributed	Create at least 3 new net connections per year and 10 or more backpacks and/or mobile hotspots distributed		201910 connection points 2020 -
Native Pride Dancers, Horse Camp, Native American Honors)  Achievement and Integration Activity numbers (2 meetings with P-L and Shakopee)  CRT Activities (2 LGBTQA+ activities with parade and								
therapy dogs, 2 with Heritage night and talent show)  PBIS Advisory Committee Meeting numbers (1 meeting)  Captains' Council Outreach Activities (0 activities)								



Increased parent participation in Conferences/Open House (based on unduplicated family participation) Increased participation in		Less than 65% district-wide participation  Less than 20% of 0-5	65-69% district-wide participation  20-35% of 0-5	70-74% district-wide participation  36-45% of 0-5	75-79% district-wide participation 46-59% of 0-5	Greater than 80% district-wide participation Greater than 60% of		
community education programs related to 0-5 resident count participating in at least one early childhood program (unduplicated percentage)		population participate in community educ.	population participate in community educ.	population participate in community educ.	population participate in community educ.	0-5 population participate in community educ.		
Increased participation in community education programs related to general community ed participation measured by number of classes offered vs. number cancelled		less than 60% of classes offered meet at least the minimum number of enrollment	60-69% of classes offered meet at least the minimum number of enrollment	70-75% of classes offered meet at least the minimum number of enrollment	76-84% of classes offered meet at least the minimum number of enrollment	Greater than 85 % of classes offered meet at least the minimum number of enrollment		
Percentage of resident ADMs attending another public school district		Less than 75% of in-boundary residents attend JPS	75-79% of in-boundary residents attend JPS	80-84% of in-boundary residents attend JPS	85-90% of in-boundary residents attend JPS	Greater than 90% of in-boundary residents attend JPS		
Positive net gain in open enrollment not including private schools or home schools		100 or more net loss to open enrollment	50 - 99 net loss to open enrollment	1-49 net loss to open enrollment	0 net gain in open enrollment	1 or more in net gain		
Parent Satisfaction Survey		Less than 60% of responses are positive	60-69% of responses are positive	70-79% of responses are positive	80-89% of responses are positive	Greater than 90% of responses are positive		
Development of New Alumni Tracking Database		Between 0% and 49% of graduating seniors share information regarding contact information and postsecondary plans prior to graduation date	Between 50% and 99%% of graduating seniors share information regarding contact information and postsecondary plans prior to graduation date	100% of graduating seniors share information regarding contact information and postsecondary plans prior to graduation date	After 1 year post graduation, the district continues to receive correspondence from 75% of graduates, and graduates share information about education or employment status on a district produced graduate survey	After 2 years post high school graduation, the district continues to receive correspondence from 70% of graduates, and graduates share information about education or employment status on a district produced graduate survey		
Development of Past Alumni Tracking Database - cooperative effort with JEF and JBC		Less than 25 alumni added to database in 2020-2021	Between 25-49 alumni added to database in 2020-2021	200 or more alumni added to database in 2019-2020	Between 50-99 alumni added to database in 2020-2021	100 or more alumni added to database in 2020-2021		
Weighted Performance Score								





#### **Operational Plan Document for Jordan Public Schools**

Strategic Direction	2019-2	2020 SY	2020-2	021 SY		
	Learning to Implementation	Implementation to Standard	Learning to Implementation	Implementation to Standard		
A: Improve Student Achievement, Learning and Career and College Readiness	<ul> <li>Instructional coaches supporting standards based instruction, CRT, and best practices K-12.</li> <li>Development of SNAP ACT curriculum for Juniors to support college readiness.</li> <li>K-12 teachers creating proficiency scales based on developed learning targets.</li> <li>Create 5-12 Personalized Learning Plans for all students as a part of college and career readiness guidelines.</li> <li>K-12 focus on expanding Inquiry Based Learning best practices to increase student achievement and engagement.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Implementation of Freshmen Academy focused on career and college readiness.</li> <li>Equity Specialist implementing college and career readiness strategies with American Indian students.</li> <li>Integration of standards based Learning Targets in all instructional practices.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Implement ACT ASPIRE test for 8th grade to use on Individualized Education Plans for college and career readiness.</li> <li>Implement an alternative norm referenced, adaptive program such as FASTbridge to replace NWEA.</li> <li>K-12 Tier I MTSS Instructional Strategies Focus aimed at improving student achievement.</li> <li>K-12 focus on expanding Inquiry Based Learning best practices to increase student achievement and engagement.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Instructional coaches supporting standards based instruction and best practices K-12.</li> <li>Implementation of SNAP ACT curriculum for Juniors to support college readiness.</li> <li>K-12 teachers implementing created proficiency scales to support grading practices.</li> <li>Created 5-12 Personalized Learning Plans for all students as a part of college and career readiness.</li> <li>Implement Inquiry Based Learning best practices to increase student achievement and engagement.</li> </ul>		
Strategic Direction	2019-2	020 SY	2020-2021 SY			
	Learning to Implementation	Implementation to Standard	Learning to Implementation	Implementation to Standard		
B: Integrate data-informed instruction, assessment and learning as a core competency in every classroom	<ul> <li>Focus on using Educlimber as a quality and effective database.</li> <li>Focus on data driven MTSS strategies to help staff make data informed instructional decisions.</li> <li>Incorporate data from SWIS to support a positive learning environment K-8.</li> </ul>	• N/A	K-12 focus on creating data driven and effective MTSS Tier 1 model.	Data stored in eduCLIMBER     used as a resource to drive K-12     educational practices.		



Strategic Direction	2019-2020 SY		2020-2021 SY		
	Learning to Implementation	Implementation to Standard	Learning to Implementation	Implementation to Standard	
C: Provide a safe and collaborative culture in which to learn and work	<ul> <li>K-12 focus on MTSS Tier 1 strategies including CRT, equity, and research based practices.</li> <li>RAC and connecting CRT strategies to instructional practices at the elementary and middle school levels.</li> <li>5-12 focus on integrating research based social and emotional learning practices.</li> <li>Using data from SWIS to support a positive school environment by recognizing, acknowledging, and connecting students and staff to their school environment</li> </ul>	Integrated social and emotional learning work in K-4 practices.	<ul> <li>K-12 focus on MTSS Tier 1     strategies including CRT,     equity, and research based     practices.</li> <li>5-12 focus on integrating     research based social and     emotional learning practices.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Integrated instructional practices linked to RAC and CRT strategies at the middle and elementary school.</li> <li>SWIS Data is used to great a positive school culture K-12.</li> </ul>	
Strategic Direction	2019-2	2019-2020 SY		2020-2021 SY	
	Learning to Implementation	Implementation to Standard	Learning to Implementation	Implementation to Standard	
D: Manage Resources (Human, Financial and Physical)	<ul> <li>Conduct an operating and bond referendum in the fall of 2019</li> <li>Implement and make use of Financial Software available (teaching employees to use SMARTer to its full capability)</li> <li>Establish Financial Stability - getting the district to a 12% Unassigned Fund Balance</li> <li>Expand upon the district's 10 year LTFM plan to include life cycle replacement on eligible</li> </ul>	Work with architects and construction managers to successful remodel and construct district facilities associated with the bond referendum questions 2 and 3	• N/A	<ul> <li>Utilize operating dollars from successful operating levy to build fund balance to policy and properly operate the district.</li> <li>Work with architects and construction managers to successful remodel and construct district facilities associated with the bond referendum questions 2 and 3</li> </ul>	



	items, and develop a 10 year plan as it relates to capital replacement for technology and other non-LTFM eligible items			Review and revise the 10 year     LTFM and Capital Improvement     plans
Strategic Direction	2019-2020 SY		2020-2021 SY	
	Learning to Implementation	Implementation to Standard	Learning to Implementation	Implementation to Standard
E. Improve Community Connection, Satisfaction, and Engagement	<ul> <li>Implement alumni database for current students by having senior high counselors collect data from students prior to graduation</li> <li>Create alumni database for all alumni who graduated in 2019 or earlier</li> <li>Use Active Net data to track performance of general CE classes</li> <li>Review communication strategies via parent survey results and employ only effective communication strategies</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Continue to plan and host effective activities and events with various student and community groups and organizations</li> <li>Use Active Net data to make adjustments to CE programming to meet the needs of the community</li> </ul>	Research alternative CE data systems to potentially replace Active Net	<ul> <li>Continue adding to alumni database by having senior high counselors collect data from students prior to graduation and work cooperatively with the Jordan Booster Club and Jordan Education Foundation on beginning to connect with alumni</li> <li>Continue to build alumni database for all alumni who graduated in 2019 or earlier and work cooperatively with the Jordan Booster Club and Jordan Education Foundation on beginning to connect with alumni</li> <li>Utilize proven, effective communication strategies</li> </ul>

#### **Operational Plan Definitions:**

**SNAP** = High School Advisory Program

**CRT** = Culturally Responsive Teaching

**ACT =** American College Testing (College entrance exam)

ACT ASPIRE = Vertically scaled, standards-based system of assessments that monitors student growth and progress toward college and career readiness FASTbridge = Curriculum-Based Measures (CBM) and Computer-Adaptive Tests (CAT) for reading, math, and behavior

**NWEA =** Northwest Evaluation Assessment



**SMARTer =** District's finance system module

**SWIS** = School Wide Information System (data warehouse for PBIS)

**EduClimber =** District's data warehouse

MTSS = Multi Tiered Systems of Support

**RAC** = Recognize, Acknowledge, Connect (CRT practice)

**PBIS =** Positive Behavior Intervention and Supports (Behavior Management Plan for the District)

**Active Net =**Registration and membership data system use for Community Education

LTFM = Long Term Facilities Maintenance (revenue stream in the form of aid and levy for the deferred maintenance of district facilities)